

Class Notes, PHIL 478:  
Logics for Defeasible Reasoning

John Horty

[www.umiacs.umd.edu/users/horty](http://www.umiacs.umd.edu/users/horty)

Version of: February 4, 2016

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Default logic</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Default rules . . . . .	1
1.2	Fixed priority default theories . . . . .	1
1.3	Stability . . . . .	1
1.4	Proper scenarios and extensions . . . . .	2
1.5	Some consequence relations . . . . .	3
1.6	Defeasible arguments . . . . .	3
1.7	Reiter default theories . . . . .	4
1.8	Normal default theories . . . . .	5

# 1 Default logic

## 1.1 Default rules

- Background language, logical closure
- Rules of form  $X \rightarrow Y$
- Where  $\delta = X \rightarrow Y$ , have  $Premise(\delta) = X$ ,  $Conclusion(\delta) = Y$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{S}$  set of defaults, have  $Conclusion(\mathcal{S}) = \{Conclusion(\delta) : \delta \in \mathcal{S}\}$
- Priorities

## 1.2 Fixed priority default theories

- **Definition 1 (Fixed priority default theories)** A fixed priority default theory  $\Delta$  is a structure of the form  $\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$ , in which  $\mathcal{W}$  is a set of ordinary formulas,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a set of default rules, and  $<$  is a strict partial ordering on  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- **Definition 2 (Extensions)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an extension of  $\Delta$  just in case, for some proper scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  based on this theory,

$$\mathcal{E} = Th(\mathcal{W} \cup Conclusion(\mathcal{S})).$$

## 1.3 Stability

- **Definition 3 (Triggered defaults)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then the defaults from  $\mathcal{D}$  that are *triggered* in the context of the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  are those belonging to the set

$$Triggered_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\delta \in \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{W} \cup Conclusion(\mathcal{S}) \vdash Premise(\delta)\}.$$

- **Definition 4 (Conflicted defaults)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then the defaults from  $\mathcal{D}$  that are *conflicted* in the context of the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  are those belonging to the set

$$Conflicted_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\delta \in \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{W} \cup Conclusion(\mathcal{S}) \vdash \neg Conclusion(\delta)\}.$$

- **Definition 5 (Defeated defaults: preliminary definition)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then the defaults from  $\mathcal{D}$  that are defeated in the context of the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  are those belonging to the set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Defeated}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, <}(\mathcal{S}) &= \{ \delta \in \mathcal{D} : \text{there is a default } \delta' \in \text{Triggered}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}) \text{ such that} \\ &\quad (1) \delta < \delta', \\ &\quad (2) \mathcal{W} \cup \{ \text{Conclusion}(\delta') \} \vdash \neg \text{Conclusion}(\delta) \}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Definition 6 (Binding defaults)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then the defaults from  $\mathcal{D}$  that are binding in the context of the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  are those belonging to the set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Binding}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, <}(\mathcal{S}) &= \{ \delta \in \mathcal{D} : \delta \in \text{Triggered}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}), \\ &\quad \delta \notin \text{Conflicted}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}), \\ &\quad \delta \notin \text{Defeated}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, <}(\mathcal{S}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Definition 7 (Stable scenarios)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a stable scenario based on the theory  $\Delta$  just in case

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{Binding}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, <}(\mathcal{S}).$$

#### 1.4 Proper scenarios and extensions

- **Definition 8 (Approximating sequences)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots$  is an approximating sequence that is based on the theory  $\Delta$  and constrained by the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  just in case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_0 &= \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{S}_{i+1} &= \{ \delta : \delta \in \text{Triggered}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}_i), \\ &\quad \delta \notin \text{Conflicted}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}), \\ &\quad \delta \notin \text{Defeated}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, <}(\mathcal{S}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Definition 9 (Proper scenarios)** Let  $\Delta$  be a default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory, and let  $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots$  be an approximating sequence that is based on  $\Delta$  and constrained by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a proper scenario based on  $\Delta$  just in case  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_i$ .

- **Theorem 1** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a proper scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is also a stable scenario based on the theory  $\Delta$ .
- **Theorem 2** A fixed priority default theory  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  has an inconsistent extension just in case  $\mathcal{W}$  is inconsistent.
- **Theorem 3** If a fixed priority default theory has an inconsistent extension, this is its only extension.
- **Theorem 4** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be proper scenarios based on a fixed priority default theory, with  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}$ .
- **Theorem 5** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an extension of the fixed point default theory  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$ , and suppose  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is also an extension of the theory  $\Delta' = \langle \mathcal{W} \cup \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$ .

### 1.5 Some consequence relations

- **Definition 10 (Credulous consequence)** Let  $\Delta$  be a default theory. Then  $Y$  is a credulous consequence of  $\Delta$ —written,  $\Delta \vdash_C Y$ —just in case  $Y \in \mathcal{E}$  for some extension  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\Delta$ .
- **Definition 11 (Skeptical consequence)** Let  $\Delta$  be a default theory. Then  $Y$  is a skeptical consequence of  $\Delta$ —written,  $\Delta \vdash_S Y$ —just in case  $Y \in \mathcal{E}$  for each extension  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\Delta$ .

- Note that credulous consequence is crazy, in the epistemic case.

- **Observation 1**

If  $\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle \vdash_S A$  and  $\langle \mathcal{W} \cup \{A\}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle \vdash_S B$ , then  $\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle \vdash_S B$ .

### 1.6 Defeasible arguments

- **Definition 12 (Defeasible arguments)** Where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of default rules and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a set of propositions, a defeasible argument, originating from  $\mathcal{W}$  and constructed from  $\mathcal{S}$ , is a sequence of propositions  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  such that each member  $X_i$  of the

sequence satisfies one of the following conditions: (1)  $X_i$  is an axiom of propositional logic; (2)  $X_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}$ ; (3)  $X_i$  follows from previous members of the sequence by modus ponens; or (4) there is some default  $\delta$  from  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $Conclusion(\delta)$  is  $X_i$  and  $Premise(\delta)$  is a previous member of the sequence.

- **Definition 13 (Argument extensions)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory. Then  $\Phi$  is an argument extension of  $\Delta$  just in case, for some proper scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  based on this theory,

$$\Phi = Argument_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

- **Definition 14 (Grounded scenarios)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is *grounded* in the theory  $\Delta$  just in case  $Th(\mathcal{W} \cup Conclusion(\mathcal{S})) \subseteq Conclusion(Argument_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S}))$ .
- **Theorem 6** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a proper scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is also grounded in the theory  $\Delta$ .
- **Theorem 7** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a fixed priority default theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a proper scenario based on the theory  $\Delta$  just in case  $\mathcal{S}$  is both stable and also grounded in this theory.

## 1.7 Reiter default theories

- A *Reiter default* is a rule of the form  $(A : C / B)$ .
- If  $\delta$  is the Reiter default above, then  $Premise(\delta) = A$ ,  $Conclusion(\delta) = B$ ,  $Justification(\delta) = C$ .
- **Definition 15 (Reiter default theories)** A Reiter default theory  $\Delta$  is a structure of the form  $\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D} \rangle$ , in which  $\mathcal{W}$  is a set of ordinary formulas and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a set of Reiter default rules.
- **Definition 16 (R-conflicted defaults)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D} \rangle$  be a Reiter default theory, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then the defaults from  $\mathcal{D}$  that are R-conflicted

in the context of the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  are those belonging to the set

$$R\text{-conflicted}_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\delta \in \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{W} \cup \text{Conclusion}(\mathcal{S}) \vdash \neg \text{Justification}(\delta)\}.$$

- **Definition 17 (Approximating sequences)** Let  $\Delta = \langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D}, < \rangle$  be a Reiter default theory and  $\mathcal{S}$  a scenario based on this theory. Then  $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots$  is an approximating sequence that is based on the theory  $\Delta$  and constrained by the scenario  $\mathcal{S}$  just in case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_0 &= \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{S}_{i+1} &= \{\delta : \delta \in \text{Triggered}_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}_i), \\ &\quad \delta \notin R\text{-conflicted}_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}), \end{aligned}$$

- Not all Reiter default theories have proper scenarios, and so not all have extensions.

## 1.8 Normal default theories

- A normal default is a default of the form  $A \rightarrow B$ .
- A normal default can also be identified with a Reiter default of the form  $(A : B / B)$ . If the default  $\delta$  is normal, then  $\text{Justification}(\delta) = \text{Conclusion}(\delta)$ .
- **Definition 18 (Normal default theories)** A normal default theory can be defined as either (A) a prioritized default theory whose priority ordering is empty, or as (B) a Reiter default theory containing only normal defaults.
- **Theorem 8** Every normal default theory has an extension.